arXiv:1606.07850v1 [math.CA] 24 Jun 2016

Generalized wave polynomials and transmutations related to perturbed Bessel equations

Vladislav V. Kravchenko, Sergii M. Torba and Jessica Yu. Santana-Bejarano
Departamento de Matemáticas, CINVESTAV del IPN, Unidad Querétaro,
Libramiento Norponiente No. 2000, Fracc. Real de Juriquilla, Querétaro, Qro. C.P. 76230 MEXICO
e-mail: vkravchenko@math.cinvestav.edu.mx, storba@math.cinvestav.edu.mx, jsantana@math.cinvestav.mx *

June 28, 2016

Abstract

The transmutation (transformation) operator associated with the perturbed Bessel equation is considered. It is shown that its integral kernel can be uniformly approximated by linear combinations of constructed here generalized wave polynomials, solutions of a singular hyperbolic partial differential equation arising in relation with the transmutation kernel. As a corollary of this results an approximation of the regular solution of the perturbed Bessel equation is proposed with corresponding estimates independent of the spectral parameter.

1 Introduction

The perturbed Bessel equation

$$-\mathbf{L}u(x) := -u''(x) + \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + q(x)\right) u(x) = \lambda u(x), \qquad l \geq -\frac{1}{2}, \quad x \in (0, b] \tag{1.1}$$

with q being a continuous complex valued function and λ an arbitrary complex constant arises in numerous physical models describing wave propagation in axially or spherically inhomogeneous media (see, e.g., [6] and [18]). Solution of spectral problems for (1.1) is of special interest.

In [25] and [24] it was shown that there exists a Volterra integral operator $\mathbf{T}$ defined by

$$\mathbf{T}[\varphi](x) = \varphi(x) + \int_0^x K(x,t)\,\varphi(t)dt$$

for any $\varphi \in C([0,b])$, and with a continuous kernel K such that a regular solution of (1.1) can be written as

$$\mathbf{u}(x,\lambda) = \mathbf{T}[\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda)]$$

where $\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda) := \sqrt{x}\mathbf{J}_{l+1/2}\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right)$ is a regular solution of the equation

$$-\mathbf{L}_0 y(x) := -y''(x) + \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} y(x) = \lambda y(x) \tag{1.2}$$

*The authors acknowledge the support from CONACYT, Mexico via the projects 166141 and 222478.

with $\mathbf{J}_p$ standing for the Bessel function of the first kind and of order p. Roughly speaking, the knowledge of the kernel K allows one to get rid of the potential q reducing (1.1) to a more elementary (1.2).

The transmutation kernel K is a solution of a certain Goursat problem (see Section 3 below) for the equation

$$\left(\Box - \frac{l\left(l+1\right)}{x^2} + \frac{l\left(l+1\right)}{t^2} - q\left(x\right)\right) u\left(x,t\right) = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

considered in the domain $0 < t \leq x \leq b$. Here $\Box := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$. This partial differential equation generalizes that arising in relation with the transmutation kernel in the case of a regular one-dimensional Schrödinger equation. In [14], [15] it was shown that the equation corresponding to the regular case

$$\left(\Box - q\left(x\right)\right) u\left(x,t\right) = 0$$

admits a complete system of solutions called generalized wave polynomials which can be constructed using a certain recurrent integration procedure. In particular, the transmutation kernel can be approximated globally by the generalized wave polynomials, and the coefficients of approximation can be found from the Goursat boundary conditions.

The aim of the present work is (a) to construct a system of generalized wave polynomials u_k corresponding to (1.3), (b) to prove that the transmutation kernel K admits a uniform approximation by their linear combinations, (c) to show how the coefficients of approximation can be found, and (d) to obtain a corresponding result concerning the approximate solution $\mathbf{u}_N$ of (1.1) obtained with the aid of the approximate transmutation kernel establishing the smallness of the difference between the exact and the approximate solutions.

The aim (a) is achieved in two steps. First, we consider an integral operator $Y_{l,x}$ relating the operator $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ with the operator $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}$ on a class of functions. This operator is known since long ago (see [22] and [23] for historical remarks). We precise several known results concerning its mapping properties and use its composition with $Y_{l,t}$ (same operator but with respect to the variable t) in order to obtain a system of solutions U_k of the equation

$$\left(\Box - \frac{l\left(l+1\right)}{x^2} + \frac{l\left(l+1\right)}{t^2}\right) U\left(x,t\right) = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

as images of the wave polynomials (a family of polynomial solutions of the wave equation [9], [14], [15]). Second, using a recent result from [1] regarding a mapping property of the operator $\mathbf{T}$, we obtain the system of the generalized wave polynomials u_k for (1.3) as images under the action of $\mathbf{T}$ of U_k obtained on the previous step.

In order to achieve the aim (b) we study the preimage k of the transmutation kernel K, that is $k := \mathbf{T}^{-1}\left[K\right]$ which satisfies a Goursat problem for (1.4). We prove that it admits a uniform approximation by linear combinations of U_k and deduce from there that K admits a uniform approximation by linear combinations of u_k.

Regarding (c) we explain how the approximation coefficients can be found by solving an approximation problem on the segment $[0,b]$ arising from the Goursat boundary condition corresponding to the kernel K.

Finally, regarding (d) we prove that for the approximate solution $\mathbf{u}_N$ of (1.1) obtained via the approximate transmutation kernel the estimate

$$\left|\int_0^x \left(\mathbf{L} + \lambda\right)\left[\mathbf{u}_N\left(s\right)\right] ds\right| \leq 2\varepsilon\sqrt{x}$$

is valid, independent of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ which makes the method proposed in the present work attractive for solving (1.1) on large intervals with respect to λ. We illustrate the accuracy of the method based on the constructed approximate solutions by solving numerically two spectral problems.

2 From regular to singular

2.1 Definition of the operator relating $\mathbf{L}_0$ with $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$

Consider the following integral operator defined on $C([0,b])$,

$$Y_{l,x}f(x) = \frac{x^{-l}}{2^{l+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \int_0^x \left(x^2-s^2\right)^l f(s)ds, \qquad l \geq -\frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.1}$$

With the change of the variable $s = xz$ (2.1) can be written in the form

$$Y_{l,x}f(x) = \frac{x^{l+1}}{2^{l+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \int_0^1 \left(1-z^2\right)^l f(xz)dz.$$

Theorem 2.1. *For any $f \in C^2([0,b])$ the following equality is valid*

$$\mathbf{L}_0 Y_{l,x}\left[f(x) - f'(0)\,x\right] = Y_{l,x}\left[f''(x)\right], \qquad \forall x \in [0,b]. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof: The right hand side of (2.2) has the form

$$Y_{l,x}\left[f''(x)\right] = \frac{x^{l+1}}{a}\int_0^1 \left(1-z^2\right)^l f''(xz)dz$$

where $a = 2^{l+\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)$. For the expression from the left hand side of (2.2) we have

$$\mathbf{L}_0 Y_{l,x}\left[f(x) - f'(0)\,x\right] = \frac{2(l+1)\,x^l}{a}\int_0^1 \left(1-z^2\right)^l z\left[f'(xz) - f'(0)\right]dz + \frac{x^{l+1}}{a}\int_0^1 \left(1-z^2\right)^l z^2 f''(xz)dz.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{L}_0 Y_{l,x}\left[f(x) - f'(0)\,x\right] - Y_{l,x}\left[f''(x)\right] \\
&\qquad = \frac{2(l+1)\,x^l}{a}\int_0^1 \left(1-z^2\right)^l z\left[f'(xz) - f'(0)\right]dz - \frac{x^{l+1}}{a}\int_0^1 \left(1-z^2\right)^{l+1} f''(xz)dz \\
&\qquad = -\frac{x^l}{a}f'(0)\left[2(l+1)\int_0^1 \left(1-z^2\right)^l zdz - 1\right] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where an integration by parts was used as well as formula 3.251.1 from [7]. ■

2.2 Properties of the operator $Y_{l,x}$

Proposition 2.2.

$$Y_{l,x}\left[x^k\right] = C_k x^{k+l+1}, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

with

$$C_k := \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)\Gamma(l+1)}{2^{l+\frac{3}{2}}\Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(l+\frac{k+3}{2}\right)}.$$

For the proof one can use the change of the variable $s = x\sin\varphi$ and formula 3.642.1 from [7].

Proposition 2.3. *For any $f \in C([0,b])$ the following equalities are valid*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{x\to 0} Y_{l,x} f(x) = 0, \qquad \forall l \geq -\frac{1}{2},\\
&\lim_{x\to 0} (Y_{l,x} f)'(x) = 0, \qquad \forall l > 0,\\
&\lim_{x\to 0} (Y_{0,x} f)'(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} f(0).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is elementary and is based on the mean value theorem, L'Hospital's rule and formula 3.251.1 from [7].

The operator $Y_{l,x}$ is related to the Bessel equation

$$-\mathbf{L}_0 u = \lambda u$$

where λ is an arbitrary complex number. The equation possesses a regular solution satisfying the asymptotic relations $u_l \sim x^{l+1}$ and $u_l' \sim (l+1)\,x^l$, $x \to 0$ and given by the formula

$$u_l(x,\lambda) = \Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right) 2^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{2l+1}{4}} \sqrt{x}\mathbf{J}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right),$$

where $\mathbf{J}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}$ is the Bessel function of the first kind and of order $l+\frac{1}{2}$, $l \geq -\frac{1}{2}$. The solution $u_l(x,\lambda)$ up to a multiplicative constant is the image of the solution $\cos\sqrt{\lambda}x$ of the equation $v'' + \lambda v = 0$ under the action of the operator $Y_{l,x}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{l,x}\left[\cos\sqrt{\lambda}x\right] &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi x}\Gamma(l+1)}{\lambda^{\frac{2l+1}{4}}\Gamma(l+3/2)} \frac{\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}x}{2}\right)^{l+1/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(l+1)} \int_0^1 (1-z^2)^l \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}xz)\,dz\\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(l+1)}{2\Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \sqrt{x}\lambda^{-\frac{2l+1}{4}} \mathbf{J}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where formula [16, (5.10.2)] was used.

Thus,

$$\frac{2^{l+\frac{3}{2}}\Gamma^2\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(l+1)} Y_{l,x}\left[\cos\sqrt{\lambda}x\right] = u_l(x,\lambda).$$

For the second linearly independent solution of $v'' + \lambda v = 0$ we obtain the relation

$$Y_{l,x}\left[\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right)\right] = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(l+1)}{2\Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)}\lambda^{-\frac{2l+1}{4}}\sqrt{x}H_{l+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right),$$

where $H_{l+\frac{1}{2}}$ stands for the Struve function of order $l+\frac{1}{2}$. Using Theorem 2.1 it is easy to verify that $h(x,\lambda) := Y_{l,x}\left[\sin\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right)\right]$ is a solution of the inhomogeneous Bessel equation

$$\left(\mathbf{L}_0 + \lambda\right) h(x,\lambda) = 2\left(l+1\right)\sqrt{\lambda}C_1 x^l.$$

Proposition 2.4. *The operators $Y_{l,x} : C\left([0,b]\right) \to C\left([0,b]\right)$ and $\frac{d}{dx}Y_{l,x} : C^1\left([0,b]\right) \to C\left([0,b]\right)$ are continuous.*

The proof is straightforward.

2.3 The inverse operator

To find the inverse operator we represent our operator as an Erdélyi-Kober operator, which are modifications of Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals and derivatives [21, §18], and use their well developed theory. Consider the following Erdélyi-Kober operator

$$I^{\alpha}_{a;\sigma,\eta}f\left(x\right) = \frac{\sigma x^{-\sigma(\alpha+\eta)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\int_a^x \left(x^{\sigma}-t^{\sigma}\right)^{\alpha-1} t^{\sigma\eta+\sigma-1} f\left(t\right)dt, \qquad \alpha > 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$I^{\alpha}_{a;\sigma,\eta}f\left(x\right) = x^{-\sigma(\alpha+\eta)}\left(\frac{d}{\sigma x^{\sigma-1}dx}\right)^n x^{\sigma(\alpha+n+\eta)} I^{\alpha+n}_{a;\sigma,\eta}f\left(x\right), \qquad \alpha > -n \tag{2.4}$$

where $0 \le a < x < \infty$ for any σ real or $-\infty \le a < x < \infty$ for σ integer. Note that the value on n in (2.4) can be arbitrary satisfying $n > -\alpha$.

The expression for the inverse operator is given by [21, §18]

$$\left(I^{\alpha}_{a;\sigma,\eta}\right)^{-1} = I^{-\alpha}_{a;\sigma,\eta+\alpha} \tag{2.5}$$

Remark 2.5. The operator $Y_{l,x}$ can be represented in terms of an Erdélyi-Kober operator as follows

$$Y_{l,x}f\left(x\right) = \frac{\Gamma(l+1)x^{l+1}}{2^{l+\frac{3}{2}}\Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)} I^{l+1}_{0;2,-\frac{1}{2}}f\left(x\right).$$

From this and from (2.5) we obtain the inverse of $Y_{l,x}$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let n be arbitrary integer satisfying $n > l+1$. Then the inverse operator of $Y_{l,x}$ can be taken in the form*

$$Y^{-1}_{l,x}f\left(x\right) = \frac{2^{l+\frac{5}{2}}\Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)x}{\Gamma(l+1)\Gamma\left(n-(l+1)\right)}\left(\frac{d}{2xdx}\right)^n \int_0^x \left(x^2-s^2\right)^{-(l+2)+n} s^{l+1} f\left(s\right)ds.$$

3 Transmutation for perturbed Bessel equations

3.1 Existence of the transmutation

Consider the perturbed Bessel equation

$$-u''(x)+\left(\frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}+q(x)\right)u(x)=\lambda u(x),\qquad l\geq-\frac{1}{2},\quad x\in(0,b] \tag{3.1}$$

where q is a complex valued continuous function on $[0,b]$ and λ is an arbitrary complex number.

When $q\equiv 0$ the function

$$\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda):=\sqrt{x}\mathbf{J}_{l+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\lambda}x\right)=\sqrt{x}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!\Gamma\left(n+l+\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}x}{2}\right)^{2n+l+\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.2}$$

is a regular solution of (3.1).

In [25] it was proved that a regular solution of (3.1) is the image of $\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda)$ under the action of the integral operator defined by

$$\mathbf{T}[\varphi](x)=\varphi(x)+\int_0^x K(x,t)\varphi(t)dt \tag{3.3}$$

for any $\varphi\in C([0,b])$, and with the kernel K described by the following theorem

Theorem 3.1 ([25])**.** *There exists and only one function $K(x,t)$ continuous in the triangle $0\leq t\leq x\leq b$ such that the following properties are satisfied*

1. *K is a solution of the equation*

$$\left(\Box-\frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}+\frac{l(l+1)}{t^2}-q(x)\right)u(x,t)=0 \tag{3.4}$$

   in the domain $0<t\leq x\leq b$.

2. $\frac{dK(x,x)}{dx}=\frac{q(x)}{2},\qquad x\in[0,b]$.

3. $$K(x,0)=0,\qquad x\in[0,b] \tag{3.5}$$

   and additionally

$$\lim_{t\to 0}K(x,t)\cdot t^l=0,\qquad \text{when } -\frac{1}{2}\leq l<0. \tag{3.6}$$

Remark 3.2. The property 3. is slightly modified as compared to the original formulation of this theorem in [25], where only (3.6) appears. However the fact that $K(x,0)=0$ for any $l\geq-\frac{1}{2}$ can be established easily using the results from [25]. Indeed, according to [25] (with the corrections similar to those presented in the Appendix A) the function K admits the representation $K(x,t)=(z-s)^{-l}v(z,s)$ where $z=\frac{1}{4}(x+t)^2$, $s=\frac{1}{4}(x-t)^2$ and $v(z,s)=O\left((z-s)^{1/2+l-\varepsilon}\right)$, $0<\varepsilon<1/2$. From where we obtain (3.5) by letting $t\to 0$.

The main result of [25] establishes that the function

$$u_l(x,\lambda)=\mathbf{T}[\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda)]$$

is a regular solution of (3.1) with $\mathbf{T}$ having the form (3.3) with K being defined by Theorem 3.1.

Note also that the operator $\mathbf{T}:C([0,b])\to C([0,b])$ is a bijection.

3.2 The transmutation property

Denote as $C_0([0,b])$, $C_0^1([0,b])$ and $\mathcal{A}_l$ the following functional spaces

$$C_0([0,b]) := \{\varphi \in C([0,b]) : \varphi(0) = 0\}, \qquad C_0^1([0,b]) := \left\{\varphi \in C^1([0,b]) : \varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = 0\right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_l = C_0([0,b]) \cap C^2((0,b]) \cap \left\{\varphi : \varphi' \in C[0,b], \text{ if } l > 0, \text{ and } \varphi'(t) = O\left(t^l\right) \text{ when } t \to 0, \text{ if } l < 0\right\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_l$ the following equality is valid*

$$\mathbf{LT}\varphi = \mathbf{TL}_0\varphi.$$

Proof: Developing the left-hand side we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{LT}[\varphi](x) = \varphi''(x) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial^2 K(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\varphi(t)dt + \frac{\partial K(x,t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{t=x}\varphi(x) + \frac{dK(x,x)}{dx}\varphi(x) + K(x,x)\varphi'(x)\\ - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}\varphi(x) - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}\int_0^x K(x,t)\varphi(t)dt - q(x)\varphi(x) - q(x)\int_0^x K(x,t)\varphi(t)dt.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbf{TL}_0[\varphi](x) = \varphi''(x) + \int_0^x K(x,t)\varphi''(t)dt - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}\varphi(x) - \int_0^x K(x,t)\frac{l(l+1)}{t^2}\varphi(t)dt.$$

Integration by parts applied to the term $\int_0^x K(x,t)\varphi''(t)dt$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^x K(x,t)\varphi''(t)dt = K(x,x)\varphi'(x) - K(x,0)\varphi'(0) - \frac{\partial K(x,t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=x}\varphi(x) + \frac{\partial K(x,t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}\varphi(0)\\ + \int_0^x \frac{\partial^2 K(x,t)}{\partial t^2}\varphi(t)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^x K(x,t)\varphi''(t)dt = K(x,x)\varphi'(x) - \frac{\partial K(x,t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=x}\varphi(x) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial^2 K(x,t)}{\partial t^2}\varphi(t)dt$$

where we used the supposition $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_l$ as well as property 3. from Theorem 3.1. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{LT}[\varphi](x) - \mathbf{TL}_0[\varphi](x)\\ &= \int_0^x \left(\frac{\partial^2 K(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 K(x,t)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}K(x,t) + \frac{l(l+1)}{t^2}K(x,t) - q(x)K(x,t)\right)\varphi(t)dt\\ &\qquad + 2\frac{dK(x,x)}{dx}\varphi(x) - q(x)\varphi(x) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

due to properties 1. and 2. from Theorem 3.1 satisfied by K. ■

Construction of the kernel K in a closed form is a difficult task. Nevertheless one can always calculate the image of the power x^{2k+l+1} for any $k \in 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. These are precisely the powers of x which arise in the

power series representation (3.2) of the function $\mathbf{d}_l$. To formulate this mapping property of the operator $\mathbf{T}$ let us define the following system of recursive integrals [1]

$$\widetilde{X}^{(0)} \equiv 1, \qquad \widetilde{X}^{(-1)} \equiv 0,$$
$$\widetilde{X}^{(n)}(x) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_0^x u_0^2(t)\widetilde{X}^{(n-1)}(t)dt, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \displaystyle -\int_0^x \frac{\widetilde{X}^{(n-1)}(t)}{u_0^2(t)}\,dt, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Here u_0 denotes a solution of the equation

$$-u''(x) + \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + q(x)\right) u(x) = 0$$

satisfying the asymptotic relation $u(x) \sim x^{l+1}$ when $x \to 0$.

Functions (3.7) arise in relation with the spectral parameter power series (SPPS) representation of the regular solutions of (1.1). We keep the notation $\widetilde{X}$ for consistency with the notations from other publications on the SPPS method, see, e.g., [11], [12], [13], [8]. The functions $\widetilde{X}^{(n)}$ are well defined if one assumes that u_0 has no zero on $(0, b]$. For example, this is true when $q(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in (0, b]$ (see [1, Corollary 3.3]). However, in fact we need to consider not the functions $\widetilde{X}^{(n)}$ themselves but their products with u_0 (see equality (3.8) below) which can be well defined even when u_0 has zeros on $(0, b]$. In order not to overload this paper with corresponding technical details we assume that u_0 has no zero on $(0, b]$ but emphasize that the results remain valid without this restriction.

Proposition 3.4 ([1, Corollary 3.3])**.**

$$\mathbf{T}[x^{2k+l+1}] = (-1)^k 2^{2k} k! \left(l + \frac{3}{2}\right)_k u_0(x)\widetilde{X}^{(2k)}(x). \tag{3.8}$$

3.3 Extension of the transmutation kernel

In this subsection we show that the kernel $K(x,t)$, defined up to now on the triangle $\{0 \leq t \leq x \leq b\}$, admits a natural extension onto the square $\{0 \leq t \leq x \leq b\} \cup \{0 \leq x \leq t \leq b\}$. For this let us consider the inverse operator $\mathbf{T}^{-1}$ which has the form

$$\mathbf{T}^{-1}[\varphi](x) = \varphi(x) - \int_0^x L(x,t)\,\varphi(t)dt.$$

Using similar reasoning as with respect to the kernel K it is easy to show that the kernel L is a solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 L(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 L(x,t)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}L(x,t) + \frac{l(l+1)}{t^2}L(x,t) + q(t)\,L(x,t) = 0$$

and satisfies the following boundary conditions

$$\frac{dL(x,x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{2}q(x), \qquad L(x,0) = 0, \qquad \text{and additionally } \lim_{t\to 0} L(x,t)\,t^l = 0 \text{ when } -\frac{1}{2} \leq l < 0.$$

Now the extension of the kernel $K(x,t)$ onto the triangle $0 \leq x \leq t \leq b$ is defined as follows $K(x,t) := L(t,x)$. Hence the extended kernel K satisfies (3.4) on $\{0 < t < x \leq b\} \cup \{0 < x < t \leq b\}$. Additionally to conditions (3.5) and (3.6) it satisfies $K(0,t) = 0$ and, for $-\frac{1}{2} \leq l < 0$, the condition $\lim_{x \to 0} K(x,t) \cdot x^l = 0$. Note that the extended kernel K is continuous on the whole square $[0,b] \times [0,b]$.

From now on we consider this extended kernel and use the same notation K for it.

3.4 The preimage of the transmutation kernel

The kernel $K(x,t)$ itself is the image of a certain function $k(x,t)$ defined on the square $[0,b] \times [0,b]$ under the action of the operator $\mathbf{T}$,

$$K(x,\tau) = \mathbf{T}[k(x,\tau)] = k(x,\tau) + \int_0^x K(x,t)\, k(t,\tau) dt. \tag{3.9}$$

Note that we are applying the operator $\mathbf{T}$ with respect to the variable x, the variable τ serves as a parameter.

The extension of the transmutation kernel K introduced in the previous section allows us to write down the preimage k in the form

$$k(x,\tau) := \mathbf{T}^{-1}[K(x,\tau)] = K(x,\tau) - \int_0^x K(t,x)\, K(t,\tau) dt. \tag{3.10}$$

Now we are interested in establishing certain basic properties of k in order to prove that it can be approximated by wave polynomials on a suitable domain. For this we need to show that k is a solution of equation (1.4). Considering the triangle $0 < t < x < b$ as the domain does not lead to the aim. Indeed, the kernel K is not necessary a solution of (3.4) on the whole square $\{0 < t \leq x < b\} \cup \{0 < x \leq t < b\}$ but only on the union of open triangles $\{0 < t < x < b\} \cup \{0 < x < t < b\}$. Hence one can not verify that k is a solution of (1.4) by direct substitution of (3.10) into equation (1.4), this would require in the calculation that K is the solution of (3.4) on the whole square. However, consideration of triangle $\{0 < x < t < b\}$ as the domain for k does not provoke any difficulty. For that reason from now on we will consider the triangle $\{0 < x < t < b\}$ as the domain for all the constructions.

We begin with the following auxiliary result in this direction.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $0 < x < \tau < b$ the following equality holds*

$$\mathbf{LT}[k(x,\tau)] = \mathbf{TL}_0[k(x,\tau)]. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof: On the left-hand side of (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{LT}[k(x,\tau)] = {} & \frac{\partial^2 k(x,\tau)}{\partial x^2} + \int_0^x \frac{\partial^2 K(x,t)}{\partial x^2} k(t,\tau) dt + \frac{\partial K(x,t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{t=x} k(x,\tau) + \frac{dK(x,x)}{dx} k(x,\tau) \\
& + K(x,x) \frac{\partial k(x,\tau)}{\partial x} - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} k(x,\tau) - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} \int_0^x K(x,t)\, k(t,\tau) dt \\
& - q(x)\, k(x,\tau) - q(x) \int_0^x K(x,t)\, k(t,\tau) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side gives

$$\mathbf{TL}_0[k(x,\tau)] = \frac{\partial^2 k(x,\tau)}{\partial x^2} + \int_0^x K(x,t) \frac{\partial^2 k(t,\tau)}{\partial t^2} dt - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} k(x,\tau) - \int_0^x K(x,t) \frac{l(l+1)}{t^2} k(t,\tau) dt.$$

Integration by parts leads to the equality

$$\int_0^x K(x,t)\frac{\partial^2 k(t,\tau)}{\partial t^2}dt = K(x,x)\frac{\partial k(t,\tau)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=x} - K(x,0)\frac{\partial k(t,\tau)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} - \frac{\partial K(x,t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=x} k(x,\tau) + \frac{\partial K(x,t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} k(0,\tau) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial^2 K(x,t)}{\partial t^2} k(t,\tau)\,dt.$$

Taking into account (3.5) and the fact that

$$k(0,\tau) = \mathbf{T}^{-1}[K(x,\tau)]\Big|_{x=0} = K(0,\tau) = 0 \tag{3.12}$$

we obtain

$$\int_0^x K(x,t)\frac{\partial^2 k(t,\tau)}{\partial t^2}dt = K(x,x)\frac{\partial k(x,\tau)}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial K(x,t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=x} k(x,\tau) + \int_0^x \frac{\partial^2 K(x,t)}{\partial t^2} k(t,\tau)\,dt$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{LT}[k(x,\tau)] - \mathbf{TL}_0[k(x,\tau)]\\ &= \int_0^x \left[\frac{\partial^2 K(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 K(x,t)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}K(x,t) + \frac{l(l+1)}{t^2}K(x,t) - q(x)K(x,t)\right] k(x,\tau)dt\\ &\qquad + 2\frac{dK(x,x)}{dx}k(x,\tau) - q(x)k(x,\tau) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

■

In the following Proposition we summarize properties of k.

Proposition 3.6. *The function $k(x,\tau)$ defined by (3.9) satisfies the following properties*

1. *on $0 < x < \tau < b$ it satisfies the equation*

$$\left(\Box - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{\tau^2}\right) k(x,\tau) = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

2. $\frac{dk(x,x)}{dx} \in C([0,b])$.

3.

$$k(0,\tau) = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Proof: Property 1. follows directly from Lemma 3.5. From (3.10) we have that $k(x,x) = K(x,x) - \int_0^x K^2(t,x)dt$. Then

$$\frac{dk(x,x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{2}q(x) - \frac{d}{dx}\int_0^x K^2(t,x)dt. \tag{3.15}$$

This expression is a continuous function because q and K are continuous. Finally, Property 3. was established above (3.12). ■

4 Generalized wave polynomials

4.1 Wave polynomials

Definition 4.1 ([9])**.** The polynomials

$$p_0(x,t)=1,\quad p_{2m-1}(x,t)=\sum_{\text{even } k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}x^{m-k}t^k,\quad p_{2m}(x,t)=\sum_{\text{odd } k=1}^{m}\binom{m}{k}x^{m-k}t^k,$$

are called **wave polynomials**.

Every wave polynomial is a solution of the wave equation $\Box u(x,t)=0$. Our next aim is to use them for constructing a system of solutions of equation (1.4). For this together with the operator $Y_{l,x}$ we consider the operator $Y_{l,t}$. Due to Theorem 2.1 a solution $u(x,t)$ of the wave equation is transformed into a solution of (1.4) by the composition of the operators $Y_{l,x}Y_{l,t}$ whenever $\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0}=\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}=0$. Indeed, if this is true, from (2.2) we obtain

$$\left(\Box-\frac{l\,(l+1)}{x^2}+\frac{l\,(l+1)}{t^2}\right)Y_{l,x}Y_{l,t}u(x,t)=Y_{l,x}Y_{l,t}\Box u(x,t)=0$$

and hence $U(x,t):=Y_{l,x}Y_{l,t}u(x,t)$ is a solution of (1.4).

Remark 4.2. The wave polynomials satisfying the condition $\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0}=\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0}=0$ are $p_0(x,t)$ and

$$p_{4n-1}\,(x,t)=\sum_{\text{even } k=0}^{2n}\binom{2n}{k}x^{2n-k}t^k,\qquad n=1,2,\ldots.$$

4.2 Generalized wave polynomials related to equation (1.4)

The images of the wave polynomials under the action of the operator $Y_{l,x}Y_{l,t}$ are called generalized wave polynomials related to (1.4).

Proposition 4.3. *The generalized wave polynomials* $U_0\,(x,t)=Y_{l,x}Y_{l,t}\,[p_0\,(x,t)]$ *and* $U_{4n-1}\,(x,t)=Y_{l,x}Y_{l,t}[p_{4n-1}\,(x,t)]$ *satisfy equation* (1.4) *together with the boundary conditions*

$$U\,(0,t)=0\qquad \textit{and}\qquad U\,(x,0)=0. \tag{4.1}$$

They have the form

$$U_0\,(x,t)=C_0^2x^{l+1}t^{l+1} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$U_{4n-1}\,(x,t)=\sum_{even\ k=0}^{2n}\binom{2n}{k}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1-k}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)\Gamma^2(l+1)}{2^{2\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)}\Gamma^2\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(n+l+\frac{3-k}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(l+\frac{k+3}{2}\right)}x^{2n-k+l+1}t^{k+l+1}\right]. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof: The generalized polynomials U_0, U_{4n-1}, $n=1,2,\ldots$ are solutions of (1.4) due to the explanation given in the preceding subsection. Equalities (4.1) follow from the definition of $Y_{l,x}$ and $Y_{l,t}$. Finally, (4.2) and (4.3) follow from Proposition 2.2.■

4.3 Generalized wave polynomials related to equation (3.4)

Consider the functions

$$u_0(x,t) = \mathbf{T}[U_0(x,t)] \qquad \text{and} \qquad u_n(x,t) = \mathbf{T}[U_{4n-1}(x,t)], \quad n = 1,2,\dots. \tag{4.4}$$

They will be called the generalized wave polynomials related to equation (3.4). The following statement summarizes some important properties of these functions.

Proposition 4.4. *1. The functions* (4.4) *are solutions of* (3.4).

2. The functions (4.4) *can be written as follows*

$$u_n(x,t) = u_0(x) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \Xi_{n,k} \tilde{X}^{(2(n-k))}(x)\, t^{2k+l+1}, \qquad n = 1,2,\dots \tag{4.5}$$

where $\Xi_{n,k} = C^2 \binom{2n}{2k} (-1)^{n-k} 2^{2(n-k)} (n-k)! \frac{\Gamma\left(n+\frac{1}{2}-k\right)\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(k+l+\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)}$, $C = \frac{\Gamma(l+1)}{2^{l+\frac{3}{2}}\Gamma\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)}$.

3. The functions (4.4) *satisfy the properties*

$$\lim_{t\to 0} u_n(x,t)\cdot t^l = 0, \qquad \forall n,\ \forall l \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad u_n(x,0) = 0, \qquad \forall n,\ \forall l \geq -\frac{1}{2},$$

$$\lim_{x\to 0} u_n(x,t)\cdot x^l = 0, \qquad \forall n,\ \forall l \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad u_n(0,x) = 0, \qquad \forall n,\ \forall l \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof: Property 1. follows from Theorem 3.3. Property 2. is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.4. Finally, property 3. follows from (4.5). ■

Let us introduce a special notation for the traces of the generalized wave polynomials (4.4) on the line $t = x$. Thus,

$$\mathbf{c}_n(x) := \frac{\Gamma^2(l+1)\pi}{2^{2\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)}\Gamma^3\left(l+\frac{3}{2}\right)} u_0(x) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n-k}(2n)!}{4^k \Gamma(k+1)\Gamma\left(k+l+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \widetilde{X}^{(2(n-k))}(x)\, x^{2k+l+1}, \quad n = 1,2,\dots. \tag{4.6}$$

5 Analytical approximation of the transmutation kernel

The aim of this section is to show that the transmutation kernel K can be uniformly approximated by linear combinations of the generalized wave polynomials (4.5). For this we first consider its preimage k and prove that it can be uniformly approximated by the generalized wave polynomials (4.2), (4.3). This is done by establishing the well-posedness of a corresponding Goursat problem appearing in Proposition 3.6 and certain completeness of the traces of (4.2), (4.3) on $t = x$.

The following lemma is a simple corollary of the Müntz theorem [4, Chap. 11], [3, Chap. XI].

Lemma 5.1. *Let* P_j *be a sequence of different positive numbers, such that* $\lim_{j\to\infty} P_j = \infty$ *and* $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_j} = \infty$. *Then* $\left\{x^{P_j}\right\}$ *is complete in* $C_0([0,b])$. *If additionally* $P_j > 1$ *for all* $j \in \mathbf{N}$, *then* $\left\{x^{P_j}\right\}$ *is complete in* $C_0^1([0,b])$.

Now we are interested to show that the function $\frac{dk(x,x)}{dx}$ can be approximated uniformly by linear combinations of the functions $\frac{dU_0(x,x)}{dx}$ and $\frac{dU_{4n-1}(x,x)}{dx}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. We observe that $\frac{dU_0(x,x)}{dx} = \bar{C}_0 x^{2l+1}$ and $\frac{dU_{4n-1}(x,x)}{dx} = \bar{C}_n x^{2(n+l)+1}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ where $\bar{C}_n$ are constants, and hence the zero power of x is an element of the set $\left\{\frac{dU_0(x,x)}{dx}\right\} \cup \left\{\frac{dU_{4n-1}(x,x)}{dx}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ if only $l = -\frac{1}{2}$. However, as we notice below (Proposition 5.2) and can be seen from (3.15), if

$$q(0) = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

the function $k(x,x)$ is an element of $C_0^1([0,b])$. Without loss of generality one can always suppose that (5.1) is fulfilled. Indeed, considering $\Lambda := \lambda - q(0)$ and $q_0(x) := q(x) - q(0)$ one can rewrite equation (1.1) in the form

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + q_0(x)\right) u = \Lambda u, \tag{5.2}$$

where the potential already fulfills (5.1). From now on we assume (5.1) to be fulfilled. Then the following result is valid.

Proposition 5.2. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist such $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$ that for all $x \in [0,b]$ the inequality holds*

$$\left|\frac{dk(x,x)}{dx} - \frac{dk_N(x,x)}{dx}\right| \leq \varepsilon$$

where

$$k_N(x,t) := a_0 U_0(x,t) + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{N} a_\kappa U_{4\kappa-1}(x,t). \tag{5.3}$$

Proof: Due to Lemma 5.1 it is sufficient to show that $k(x,x) \in C_0^1([0,b])$, since the traces of the generalized wave polynomials $U_0(x,t)$, $U_{4\kappa-1}(x,t)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ on the line $t = x$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5.1. From Property 3. of Proposition 3.6 we have that $k(0,0) = 0$. Moreover, from (3.15) we obtain that $\frac{dk(0,0)}{dx} = \frac{1}{2}q(0) = 0$. Thus, indeed $k(x,x) \in C_0^1([0,b])$, and the proof follows from Lemma 5.1. ■

Next step is to prove that the uniform approximation of the preimage of the transmutation kernel on the line $t = x$ implies its uniform approximation on the triangle $0 \leq x \leq t \leq b$. This means the stability of the Goursat problem appearing in Proposition 3.6. First, in the following statement, using the result from [25] we write down its solution.

Proposition 5.3. *The unique solution in the triangle $0 < x \leq t \leq b$ of the Goursat problem*

$$\left(\Box - \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{t^2}\right) H(x,t) = 0, \qquad l \geq -\frac{1}{2} \tag{5.4}$$

$$\frac{dH(x,x)}{dx} = \frac{h(x)}{2}, \tag{5.5}$$

$$H(0,t) = 0, \tag{5.6}$$

where $h(x)$ is a continuous function, is given by the formula

$$H(x,t) = (z-s)^{-l} u(z,s), \tag{5.7}$$

with $z = \frac{1}{4}(x+t)^2$, $s = \frac{1}{4}(t-x)^2$ *and* u *being defined by*

$$u(\xi,\eta) = \frac{1}{4}\int_0^{\xi} v(z,0;\xi,\eta)\, h\left(\sqrt{z}\right) z^{l-\frac{1}{2}} dz \tag{5.8}$$

where v *is the Riemann function of the problem admitting an explicit representation given in Appendix A.*

Proof: The change of variables $z = \frac{1}{4}(x+t)^2$, $s = \frac{1}{4}(t-x)^2$ transforms (5.4)–(5.6) into the following boundary value problem for $u(z,s)$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z \partial s} + \frac{l}{z-s}\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{l}{z-s}\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} = 0, \tag{5.9}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{lu}{z} = \frac{1}{4}h\left(\sqrt{z}\right) z^{l-\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad s = 0, \tag{5.10}$$

$$u(z,z) = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

We denote by Z the domain of this boundary problem, given by $0 \le s \le z \le b^2$, $\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{z} \le b$. Note that (5.10) is obtained from (5.5). Indeed, differentiation of $H(x,x) = z^{-l}u(z,0)$ with respect to x gives

$$\frac{d}{dz}\left[z^{-l}u(z,0)\right]\frac{dz}{dx} = -2\sqrt{z}\left[lz^{-(l+1)}u(z,0) - z^{-l}\frac{\partial u(z,0)}{\partial z}\right] = -\frac{2lu(z,0)}{z^{l+\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{2}{z^{l-\frac{1}{2}}}\frac{\partial u(z,0)}{\partial z}.$$

On the other hand, $h(x) = h(\sqrt{z})$. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{z^{l-\frac{1}{2}}}\frac{\partial u(z,0)}{\partial z} - \frac{lu(z,0)}{z^{l+\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{1}{4}h\left(\sqrt{z}\right).$$

Multiplication by $z^{l-\frac{1}{2}}$ gives us (5.10). (5.11) follows directly from (5.6). Solution of (5.9)–(5.11) by the Riemann function method (see [25] for details) leads to the integral (5.8). ■

In the following auxiliary statement the stability of the Goursat problem (5.4), (5.5) is established.

Proposition 5.4. *There exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that if* H *is a solution of* (5.4)–(5.6) *with* h *in* (5.5) *satisfying* $\|h\|_{C([0,b])} \le \varepsilon$, *then*

$$\max_{0\le x\le t\le b} |H(x,t)| \le \varepsilon C.$$

Proof. Consider the solution H of the Goursat problem defined in terms of u by (5.7). Due to Corollary A.3 from Appendix A we have that for $\|h\|_{C([0,b])} \le \varepsilon$, the function u defined by (5.8) can be bounded as follows

$$|u(\xi,\eta)| \le \frac{\varepsilon(A_1+A_2)}{4}(\xi-\eta)^{l+1/2}.$$

Denote by $A := (A_1+A_2)/4$. Hence from (5.7) we obtain

$$\max_{0\le x\le t\le b}|H(x,t)| = \max_{(z,s)\in Z}|(z-s)^{-l}u(z,s)| \le \max_{(z,s)\in Z}\left\{A\varepsilon|(z-s)^{-l}|(z-s)^{l+1/2}\right\}$$
$$\le \varepsilon A \max_{0\le s\le z\le b^2}(z-s)^{1/2} = \varepsilon A b.$$

□

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist such $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$ that the inequality*

$$|k(x,t) - k_N(x,t)| \leq \varepsilon$$

is fulfilled for all $0 \leq x \leq t \leq b$, where $k_N(x,t)$ is of the form (5.3).

Proof. Take $\varepsilon_1 := \varepsilon/C$ where C is the constant from Proposition 5.4. Due to Proposition 5.2 there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N$ such that $\left|\frac{dU_\varepsilon(x,x)}{dx}\right| \leq \varepsilon_1$ where $U_\varepsilon(x,t) := k(x,t) - k_N(x,t)$. Since by Proposition 4.1 every generalized wave polynomial $U_0(x,t)$, $U_{4n-1}(x,t)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ satisfies equation (3.13), and $U_0(0,t) = 0$, $U_{4n-1}(0,t) = 0$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, $U_\varepsilon(x,t)$ also satisfies equation (3.13) and boundary condition (3.14). Due to Proposition 5.4 we obtain $\max_{0 \leq x \leq t \leq b} |U_\varepsilon(x,t)| \leq \varepsilon$. □

5.1 Approximation of the integral kernel $K(x,t)$

Theorem 5.6. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist such $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$ that the inequality*

$$|K(x,t) - K_N(x,t)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

holds for all $0 \leq x \leq t \leq b$, where

$$K_N(x,t) := \sum_{\kappa=0}^{N} a_\kappa u_\kappa(x,t). \tag{5.12}$$

In particular,

$$\left|\frac{1}{2}\int_0^x q(s)ds - \sum_{\kappa=0}^{N} a_\kappa \mathbf{c}_\kappa(x)\right| \leq \varepsilon \tag{5.13}$$

for all $x \in [0,b]$.

Proof: Let $\|\mathbf{T}\|$ denote the uniform norm of the operator $\mathbf{T}$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider $\varepsilon_1 := \varepsilon/\|\mathbf{T}\|$. Due to Proposition 5.5 there exist such $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$ that the inequality

$$\|k - k_N\| := \max_{0 \leq x \leq t \leq b} |k(x,t) - k_N(x,t)| \leq \varepsilon_1$$

holds. Consider

$$\|K - K_N\| = \|\mathbf{T}(k - k_N)\| \leq \|\mathbf{T}\| \, \|k - k_N\| \leq \varepsilon_1 \|\mathbf{T}\| = \varepsilon.$$

Inequality (5.13) follows from the last inequality as well if one takes into account that $K(x,x) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^x q(s)ds$. ■

6 Approximation of the solution

According to Theorem 5.6 for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist such $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathbb{C}$ that the inequality (5.13) holds. We will assume that the coefficients $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N$ are thus chosen and denote by K_N the corresponding approximate transmutation kernel (5.12). Denote $q_N(x) := \frac{2dK_N(x,x)}{dx}$. Note that (5.13) implies the inequality

$$\left|\int_0^x (q(t) - q_N(t))\, dt\right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We are interested in the corresponding approximate solution

$$\mathbf{u}_N(x,\lambda) := \mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x K_N(x,t)\,\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)dt, \qquad l \geq -1/2. \tag{6.1}$$

The following statement allows one to estimate the residual of the approximate solution.

Theorem 6.1. *For the approximate solution* (6.1) *the following inequality is valid*

$$\left|\int_0^x (\mathbf{L}+\lambda)\,\mathbf{u}_N(s)ds\right| \leq 2\varepsilon\sqrt{x}.$$

Proof: First, let us show that

$$(\mathbf{L}+\lambda)\,\mathbf{u}_N(x) = [q_N(x) - q(x)]\,\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda).$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{L}+\lambda)\,\mathbf{u}_N(x) = -q(x)\,\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x ((\mathbf{L}+\lambda)\,K_N(x,t))\,\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)dt \\ + \frac{\partial K_N(x,t)}{\partial x}\Big|_{t=x}\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda) + \frac{dK_N(x,x)}{dx}\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda) + K_N(x,x)\,\mathbf{d}_l'(x,\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Since K_N satisfies equation (3.4) we have

$$\int_0^x (\mathbf{L}K_N(x,t))\,\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)dt = \int_0^x \left(\frac{\partial^2 K_N(x,t)}{\partial t^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{t^2}K_N(x,t)\right)\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)dt.$$

Integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^x \frac{\partial^2 K_N(x,t)}{\partial t^2}\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)dt = \frac{\partial K_N(x,t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=x}\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda) - K_N(x,x)\,\mathbf{d}_l'(x,\lambda) - \frac{\partial K_N(x,t)}{\partial t}\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)\Big|_{t=0} \\ + K_N(x,t)\,\mathbf{d}_l'(t,\lambda)\Big|_{t=0} + \int_0^x K_N(x,t)\,\mathbf{d}_l''(t,\lambda)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $K_N(x,t)$ has the form $t^{l+1}\sum_{n=0}^N \kappa_n(x)t^{2n}$, while $\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda) \sim ct^{l+1}$ and $\mathbf{d}_l'(t,\lambda) \sim c(l+1)t^l$, $t \to 0$, with $c = \frac{\lambda^{(2l+1)/4}}{\Gamma(l+3/2)2^{l+1/2}}$, leading to the conclusion that

$$-\frac{\partial K_N(x,t)}{\partial t}\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)\Big|_{t=0} + K_N(x,t)\,\mathbf{d}_l'(t,\lambda)\Big|_{t=0} = 0$$

for any $l \geq -1/2$. Thus,

$$\int_0^x \frac{\partial^2 K_N(x,t)}{\partial t^2}\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)dt = \frac{\partial K_N(x,t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=x}\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda) - K_N(x,x)\,\mathbf{d}_l'(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x K_N(x,t)\,\mathbf{d}_l''(t,\lambda)dt.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{L}+\lambda)\,\mathbf{u}_N(x) &= \left(2\frac{dK_N(x,x)}{dx} - q(x)\right)\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda) + \int_0^x \left(\frac{d^2\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)}{dt^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{t^2}\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda) + \lambda\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)\right)K_N(x,t)dt \\ &= (q_N(x) - q(x))\,\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$\int_0^x \left(q_N(s)-q(s)\right)\mathbf{d}_l(s,\lambda)ds=\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda)\int_0^x \left(q_N(s)-q(s)\right)ds-\int_0^x \mathbf{d}_l'(s,\lambda)\int_0^s \left(q_N(\sigma)-q(\sigma)\right)d\sigma ds$$

Since $|\mathbf{J}_\nu(x)|\le 1$ for any $\nu\ge 0$ and all $x\in\mathbb{R}$ (see e.g., [19, 10.14.1.]) we have $|\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda)|\le\sqrt{x}$. Hence

$$\left|\int_0^x (\mathbf{L}+\lambda)\mathbf{u}_N(s)ds\right|=\left|\int_0^x \left(q_N(s)-q(s)\right)\mathbf{d}_l(s,\lambda)ds\right|\le\varepsilon\left(\sqrt{x}+\left|\int_0^x \mathbf{d}_l'(s,\lambda)ds\right|\right)\le 2\varepsilon\sqrt{x}.$$

■

The convenience of seeking the approximate solution in the form (6.1) consists in the fact that the integral in (6.1) can be calculated explicitly. Consider

$$\mathbf{u}_N(x)=\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda)+\int_0^x\sum_{n=0}^N a_n u_n(x,t)\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)\,dt.$$

By (4.5) we have

$$\mathbf{u}_N(x)=\mathbf{d}_l(x,\lambda)+u_0(x)\sum_{n=0}^N a_n\sum_{k=0}^n \Xi_{n,k}\widetilde{X}^{(2(n-k))}(x)\int_0^x t^{2k+l+1}\mathbf{d}_l(t,\lambda)\,dt. \tag{6.2}$$

The integrals here can be calculated explicitly. Let $\lambda=\omega^2$. In an important special case $l\in\mathbb{N}$ one can use the following formula [20, (1.8.1.6)]

$$\begin{aligned}\int t^{(2k+l+1)+\frac12}\mathbf{J}_{l+\frac12}(\omega t)dt=&\frac{1}{\omega^{2k+l+\frac52}}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\sin\left(\omega t-\frac{l\pi}{2}\right)\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{2k+l}{2}\right]}C_{2k+l-2j}(\omega t)^{2k+l-2j}\\&+\frac{1}{\omega^{2k+l+\frac52}}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\cos\left(\omega t-\frac{l\pi}{2}\right)\sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{2k+l+1}{2}\right]}C_{2k+l+1-2j}(\omega t)^{2k+l+1-2j},\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{2k+l+1}=-1$, $C_{2k+l-j}=(-1)^j\left[P_{j+1}-(2k+l+1-j)C_{2k+l+1-j}\right]$, $j=0,1,\dots,2k+l$, $P_j=(-1)^{\left[\frac{j}{2}\right]}\frac{(l+j)!}{j!(l-j)!2^j}$ for $j=0,1,\dots,l$ and $P_j=0$ for $j>l$.

In general one can use the formula [20, (1.8.1.1)] according to which

$$\int_0^x t^{2k+l+\frac32}\mathbf{J}_{l+\frac12}(\omega t)dt=\frac{\omega^{l+1/2}x^{2(k+l)+3}}{2^{l+\frac12}\left[2(k+l)+3\right]\Gamma\left(l+\frac32\right)}{}_1\mathbf{F}_2\left(\frac{2(k+1)+3}{2};\frac{2(k+l)+5}{2},l+\frac32;-\frac{(\omega x)^2}{4}\right).$$

The derivative of (6.1) can also be written in a closed form

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mathbf{u}_N(x)}{dx}=&\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}\mathbf{J}_{l+\frac12}(\omega x)+\frac{\omega\sqrt{x}}{2}\left\{\mathbf{J}_{l-\frac12}(\omega x)-\mathbf{J}_{l+\frac32}(\omega x)\right\}\\&+\sum_{n=0}^N a_n\sum_{k=0}^n\Xi_{n,k}\left(u_0'(x)\widetilde{X}^{(2(n-k))}(x)-\frac{\widetilde{X}^{(2(n-k)-1)}(x)}{u_0(x)}\right)\int_0^x t^{2k+l+1}\mathbf{d}_l(t,\omega)\,dt\\&+\mathbf{d}_l(x,\omega)u_0\sum_{n=0}^N a_n\sum_{k=0}^n\Xi_{n,k}x^{2k+l+1}\widetilde{X}^{(2(n-k))}(x).\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

7 Numerical solution of spectral problems

One of the possible applications of the proposed approximation is the numerical solution of spectral problems. Recall (see, e.g., [1], [10], [26]) that the classical formulation of spectral problems for equation (1.1) consists in finding the values of the spectral parameter for which the regular solution u of (1.1) satisfies

$$\beta u(b,\lambda) + \gamma u'(b,\lambda) = 0 \tag{7.1}$$

for some $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\beta| + |\gamma| \neq 0$. The regular solution (which is bounded around $x = 0$) is unique up to a multiplicative constant for $l > 0$, so no additional boundary condition is required, while for $-1/2 \leq l < 0$ one of the equivalent ways to define the regular solution is by its asymptotics $u(x,\lambda) \sim x^{l+1}$, $x \to 0$. In both cases it is the regular solution considered in previous sections.

The following algorithm can be proposed for approximate solution of spectral problems for equation (1.1).

1. Transform equation (1.1) into the form (5.2) by taking $\Lambda := \lambda - q(0)$ and $q_0 := q - q(0)$.
2. Find a particular solution u_0 of (5.2) for $\Lambda = 0$ satisfying the asymptotic conditions

   $$u_0(x) \sim x^{l+1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad u_0'(x) \sim (l+1)x^l, \quad x \to 0.$$

   The method described in [1, Section 3] may be used.
3. Construct the functions $\{\mathbf{c}_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ using (4.6).
4. Find N and the coefficients $a_0, \ldots, a_N$ by minimizing the left hand side expression in (5.13), i.e., by solving one-dimensional uniform approximation problem.
5. Find approximate eigenvalues as zeros of (7.1), the approximate solution $\mathbf{u}_N$ and the approximate derivative $\mathbf{u}_N'$ are calculated for all required values of λ by (6.2) and (6.3).

We refer the reader to [1], [9] and [15] for useful hints for realization of the proposed algorithm, in particular, for methods of calculation of the recursive integrals in (3.7) and of solution of the approximation problem (5.13).

We illustrate the proposed algorithm by the following numerical example.

Example 7.1. Consider the following equation

$$-u''(x) + \frac{l(l+1)}{x^2}u(x) + x^2 u(x) = \lambda u(x), \qquad x \in (0,\pi], \tag{7.2}$$

and consider two different spectral problems for equation (7.2), one given by the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u(\pi,\lambda) = 0, \tag{7.3}$$

and another one given by the Neumann boundary condition

$$u'(\pi,\lambda) = 0. \tag{7.4}$$

We implemented the proposed algorithm in Matlab 2012. All computations were performed in the double machine precision. All the integrals involved were calculated using the Newton-Cottes 6 point modified integration formula and all the functions involved were represented by their values on 20001 uniformly distributed points. The approximation problem (5.13) was solved by the Remez algorithm, see [9]. Exact characteristic functions for both spectral problems can be written in terms of the Kummer confluent hypergeometric functions, we used Wolfram Mathematica to obtain the "exact" eigenvalues from these characteristic functions.

n	λ_n	$\Delta\lambda_n$
1	2.001805251890	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$
2	6.103446111613	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-11}$
3	10.956972252829	$7.6 \cdot 10^{-11}$
5	25.937702288545	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-10}$
10	98.393217235689	$5.5 \cdot 10^{-10}$
20	393.38152313544	$5.5 \cdot 10^{-11}$
50	2478.3783027695	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$
100	9953.3778488114	$8.4 \cdot 10^{-11}$
	$\max_{n\le 100} \Delta\lambda_n$	$8.7 \cdot 10^{-10}$
	N in (5.13)	15
	ε in (5.13)	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$
	$l = -1/2$	

n	λ_n	$\Delta\lambda_n$
1	4.015495482801	$7.2 \cdot 10^{-12}$
2	8.347478350031	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-11}$
3	13.920779935452	$9.5 \cdot 10^{-11}$
5	30.849480217032	$6.2 \cdot 10^{-10}$
10	108.29669952501	$3.2 \cdot 10^{-10}$
20	413.28164218574	$2.0 \cdot 10^{-10}$
50	2528.2772347906	$5.6 \cdot 10^{-11}$
100	10053.276592794	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-10}$
	$\max_{n\le 100} \Delta\lambda_n$	$6.9 \cdot 10^{-10}$
	N in (5.13)	14
	ε in (5.13)	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$
	$l = 1/2$	

n	$\Delta\lambda_n$
1	$7.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$
2	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$
3	$2.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$
5	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$
10	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$
20	$8.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
50	$9.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$
100	$8.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$\max \Delta\lambda_n$	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$
N	14
ε	$7.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$
$l = 1$	

Table 1: The exact eigenvalues λ_n for the spectral problem (7.2), (7.3) and the absolute errors $\Delta\lambda_n$ of the approximate eigenvalues calculated using the proposed algorithm for different values of l. The last two rows show the error achieved when solving the approximation problem (5.13) and the parameter N used for the construction of the approximate solutions.

n	λ_n	$\Delta\lambda_n$
1	1.997907144139	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-11}$
2	5.868682400048	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-11}$
3	9.324466618141	$7.9 \cdot 10^{-11}$
5	21.551367196747	$3.8 \cdot 10^{-10}$
10	88.908090757514	$3.5 \cdot 10^{-10}$
20	373.884502196653	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-10}$
50	2428.87873010418	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$
100	9853.87795220908	$8.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$
	$\max_{n\le 100} \Delta\lambda_n$	$5.7 \cdot 10^{-10}$
	$l = -1/2$	

n	λ_n	$\Delta\lambda_n$
1	3.980969145691	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-11}$
2	7.612337613278	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-10}$
3	11.447054658026	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-10}$
5	25.935713162142	$5.1 \cdot 10^{-11}$
10	98.310440283471	$7.1 \cdot 10^{-10}$
20	393.284522228857	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-10}$
50	2478.27765466469	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-10}$
100	9754.77670125413	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-10}$
	$\max_{n\le 100} \Delta\lambda_n$	$9.6 \cdot 10^{-10}$
	$l = 1/2$	

Table 2: The exact eigenvalues λ_n for the spectral problem (7.2), (7.4) and the absolute errors $\Delta\lambda_n$ of the approximate eigenvalues calculated using the proposed algorithm for different values of l.

We solved the spectral problem (7.2), (7.3) for three values of l, namely, for $l = -1/2$, $l = 1/2$ and $l = 1$, and the spectral problem (7.2), (7.4) for $l = -1/2$ and $l = 1/2$ (for $l = 1$ Wolfram Mathematica

failed to provide us with a usable analytic expression for the characteristic function of the problem). The obtained eigenvalues and their absolute errors are reported in Tables 1 and 2. We emphasize the remarkable accuracy of the eigenvalues for the first two values of l. Equations with these values of the parameter l appear when one implements separation of variables in domains with cylindrical symmetry, e.g., in fiber optics [2], and present difficulties (especially the limit value $l = -1/2$) for various numerical packages. For example, the recent package MATSLICE 2.0 [17] requires to use $l = -0.499999988$ as a workaround in order to obtain correct approximate eigenvalues, while fails for $l = -1/2$. See also [1, Example 7.5]. For larger values of l the precision deteriorates as we illustrate for $l = 1$, this is mainly due to limitation of machine precision arithmetics and large coefficients appearing during the solution of approximation problem (5.13), similar phenomena was observed in [15]. We leave detailed analysis of possible techniques to overcome this restriction for a separate study.

Remark 7.2. Similarly to the proof in [25] one can see that the Goursat problem

$$\frac{dK(x,x)}{dx} = h(x)$$

for equation (3.4) is well-posed meaning that if one approximate $q/2$ by the derivatives $\mathbf{c}_n'$, i.e., if one finds N and coefficients $a_0, \ldots, a_N$ such that the inequality

$$\left| \frac{q(x)}{2} - \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \mathbf{c}_n'(x) \right| < \varepsilon \tag{7.5}$$

holds for all $x \in [0, b]$, then there exists such constant C, dependent on q, l and b only, that

$$\left| K(x,t) - K_N(x,t) \right| < C\varepsilon, \qquad 0 < t \le x \le b, \tag{7.6}$$

where K_N is given by (5.12). The uniform approximation (7.6) of the integral kernel K provides uniform error bound for the approximate solution $\mathbf{u}_N$, see [15], a stronger result compared to Theorem 6.1. The necessary condition for the approximation problem (7.5) to have a solution is that $q(0) = 0$, which is not a problem, c.f., Section 5. Completeness of the functions $\{\mathbf{c}_n'\}_{n\ge 0}$ in the space $C_0([0, b])$ is a sufficient condition. Unfortunately we do not have a proof of this result. Nevertheless, we can propose a modification of the algorithm. Instead of minimizing (5.13) in Step 4, one minimizes (7.5) in order to find N and the coefficients $a_0, \ldots, a_N$. We checked the performance of this modified algorithm on the spectral problems from Example 7.1. For $l = -1/2$ the error achieved in (7.5) was $7.3 \cdot 10^{-13}$ for $N = 19$ resulting in $\max_{n\le 100} \Delta\lambda_n \approx 3.6 \cdot 10^{-12}$. For $l = 1/2$ the error achieved in (7.5) was $7.6 \cdot 10^{-13}$ for $N = 22$ resulting in $\max_{n\le 100} \Delta\lambda_n \approx 3.6 \cdot 10^{-12}$. However, for $l = 1$ the approximation was worse, the error achieved in (7.5) was $6.3 \cdot 10^{-3}$ for $N = 13$ resulting in $\max_{n\le 100} \Delta\lambda_n \approx 2.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$. We leave a detailed study of this modification for the future work.

A Appendix

The Riemann function for the boundary value problem (5.9), (5.10), (5.11) has the form [25]

$$v(z, s; \xi, \eta) = \begin{cases} v_1(z, s; \xi, \eta) & \text{if } 0 \le s < \eta < z < \xi, \\ v_2(z, s; \xi, \eta) & \text{if } 0 \le s < z < \eta < \xi, \end{cases}$$

with

$$v_1(z,s;\xi,\eta) = (\eta - z)^l (s-\xi)^l (s-z)^{-2l} \, {}_2\mathbf{F}_1\left(-l,-l;1;\frac{(z-\xi)(s-\eta)}{(z-\eta)(s-\xi)}\right)$$

and

$$v_2(z,s;\xi,\eta) = \frac{-\sin(\pi l)\Gamma^2(1+l)}{\pi\Gamma(2+2l)} \frac{(\eta-\xi)^{1+2l}(z-s)}{(s-\xi)^{l+1}(\eta-z)^{l+1}} {}_2\mathbf{F}_1\left(1+l,1+l;2+2l;\frac{(z-s)(\eta-\xi)}{(z-\eta)(s-\xi)}\right).$$

It is continuous with the exception of the line $z=\eta$.

The aim of this appendix is to provide the uniform with respect to ξ, η estimates for the functions v_1, v_2 and for the integral (5.8). The main reason for the necessity in such new estimates is that those presented in [25] contain an error. For example, in [25] it is stated that $u(\xi,\eta) = O[(\xi-\eta)^{1+l-\rho}]$, $0 \le \rho < 1$. One can easily obtain from this estimate that the integral kernel K of the transmutation operator should satisfy the inequality $|K(x,t)| \le C(xt)^{1-\rho}$ and in particular, for $x=t$, the inequality $|K(x,x)| \le Cx^{2-2\rho}$ which is impossible for any $\rho < 1/2$ due to the Goursat condition $\frac{dK(x,x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{2}q(x)$.

Lemma A.1. *The functions v_1 and v_2 satisfy the following inequalities*

$$|v_1(z,0;\xi,\eta)| \le C_1 \frac{|\eta - z|^l \xi^l}{z^{2l}}, \qquad z \in \left(\frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta}, \xi\right), \tag{A.1}$$

$$|v_1(z,0;\xi,\eta)| \le C_2 \frac{|\xi - z|^l \eta^l}{z^{2l}} \left(\log\left(\frac{(\xi-z)\eta}{(z-\eta)\xi}\right) + C_3\right), \qquad z \in \left(\eta, \frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta}\right), \tag{A.2}$$

$$|v_2(z,0;\xi,\eta)| \le C_4 \frac{(\xi-\eta)^{1+2l} z}{\xi^{1+l}(\eta-z)^{1+l}}, \qquad z \in \left(0, \frac{\xi\eta}{2\xi-\eta}\right), \tag{A.3}$$

$$|v_2(z,0;\xi,\eta)| \le C_5 \frac{(\xi-\eta)^l}{z^l} \left(\log\left(\frac{z(\xi-\eta)}{\xi(\eta-z)}\right) + C_6\right), \qquad z \in \left(\frac{\xi\eta}{2\xi-\eta}, \eta\right), \tag{A.4}$$

where the constants C_i do not depend on z, ξ and η.

Proof. Consider

$$v_1(z,0;\xi,\eta) = \frac{(-1)^{-l}(\eta-z)^l \xi^l}{z^{2l}} {}_2\mathbf{F}_1(-l,-l;1;\sigma_1), \qquad 0 < \eta < z < \xi, \tag{A.5}$$

where $\sigma_1 := \frac{(z-\xi)\eta}{(z-\eta)\xi}$. When the variable z changes from η to ξ, the variable σ_1 changes from $-\infty$ to 0. In order to estimate the hypergeometric function in (A.5) we consider two cases, $\sigma_1 \in (-1,0)$ and $\sigma_1 \in (-\infty,-1)$, corresponding to $z \in \left(\frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta}, \xi\right)$ and $z \in \left(\eta, \frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta},\right)$, respectively. For the first case we have that ${}_2\mathbf{F}_1(-l,-l;1;\sigma_1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-l)_n}{n!}\right)^2 \sigma_1^n$, where $(\alpha)_n$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol. The terms of this series alternate in sign and their absolute values are monotone decreasing for $n > l$ and go to zero as $n \to \infty$. Hence,

$$|{}_2\mathbf{F}_1(-l,-l;1;\sigma_1)| \le \sum_{n=0}^{[l+1]} \left(\frac{(-l)_n}{n!}\right)^2 |\sigma_1|^n \le \sum_{n=0}^{[l+1]} \left(\frac{(-l)_n}{n!}\right)^2 =: C_1, \qquad \sigma_1 \in (-1,0). \tag{A.6}$$

For the second case, assume first that $l \notin \mathbb{N}$. We obtain using [5, (2.1.4.18)] that

$$ {}_2\mathbf{F}_1\left(-l,-l;1;\sigma_1\right) = \frac{\left(-\sigma_1\right)^l}{\Gamma(-l)\Gamma(1+l)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-l)_n}{n!}\right]^2 \sigma_1^{-n} \left[\log\left(-\sigma_1\right) + h_n\right], $$

where $h_n = 2\psi(1+n) - 2\psi(n-l) + \pi\cot(\pi l)$. The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(-l)_n}{n!}\right)^2 \sigma_1^{-n}$ is bounded by the same estimate (A.6) and, since $\psi(1+n)-\psi(n-l) = \frac{l+1}{n-l} + O(n^{-2})$, see [5, (1.18.7)], the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(-l)_n}{n!}\right)^2 \sigma_1^{-n}\big(\psi(1+n)-\psi(n-l)\big)$ can be uniformly bounded by the absolutely convergent series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{l+1}{n-l}\left(\frac{(-l)_n}{n!}\right)^2$. Hence

$$ \begin{aligned} \left|{}_2\mathbf{F}_1\left(-l,-l;1;\sigma_1\right)\right| &= \frac{|\sigma_1|^l}{|\Gamma(-l)|\Gamma(1+l)} \Bigg| \big(\log(-\sigma_1) + \cot\pi l\big) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(-l)_n}{n!}\right)^2 \sigma_1^{-n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{(-l)_n}{n!}\right)^2 \sigma_1^{-n}\big(2\psi(1+n) - 2\psi(n-l)\big)\Bigg| \\ &\le \frac{|\sigma_1|^l}{|\Gamma(-l)|\Gamma(1+l)}\big(c_1|\log(-\sigma_1) + \pi\cot\pi l| + c_2\big) \le C_2|\sigma_1|^l(\log(-\sigma_1) + C_3). \end{aligned} \tag{A.7} $$

In the case $l \in \mathbb{N}$ the hypergeometric function reduces to a polynomial, see [5, (2.1.4.20)], and the proof is straightforward. Combining estimates (A.6) and (A.7) with (A.5) one easily obtains estimates (A.1) and (A.2). The proof of the estimates (A.3) and (A.4) is completely similar with the only difference that for the case $l \in \mathbb{N}$ one has to use the formula [5, (2.1.4.19)]. □

In the next lemma we estimate the integrals involving the Riemann function.

Lemma A.2. *The following inequalities hold*

$$ \int_{\eta}^{\xi} \left|v_1\left(z,0;\xi,\eta\right)\right| z^{l-\frac{1}{2}} dz \le A_1\left(\xi-\eta\right)^l \left(\sqrt{\xi}-\sqrt{\eta}\right) \tag{A.8} $$

and

$$ \int_{0}^{\eta} \left|v_2\left(z,0;\xi,\eta\right)\right| z^{l-\frac{1}{2}} dz \le A_2\left(\xi-\eta\right)^l \left(\sqrt{\xi}-\sqrt{\eta}\right), \tag{A.9} $$

where the constants A_1, A_2 do not depend on ξ and η.

Proof. We see using the estimates (A.1) and (A.2) that

$$ \begin{aligned} \int_{\eta}^{\xi} \big|v_1(z,0;\xi,\eta)\big| z^{l-1/2}\, dz &\le C_2C_3\eta^l \int_{\eta}^{\frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta}} \frac{(\xi-z)^l}{z^{l+1/2}}\, dz + C_1\xi^l \int_{\frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta}}^{\xi} \frac{(z-\eta)^l}{z^{l+1/2}}\, dz \\ &\quad + C_2\eta^l \int_{\eta}^{\frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta}} \frac{(\xi-z)^l}{z^{l+1/2}} \log\left(\frac{(\xi-z)\eta}{(z-\eta)\xi}\right) dz =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} $$

To bound the first integral note that $\frac{\xi-\eta}{2\eta} \le \frac{\xi-z}{z} \le \frac{\xi-\eta}{\eta}$, i.e., $\frac{(\xi-z)^l}{z^l} \le C_l\frac{(\xi-\eta)^l}{\eta^l}$, where $C_l = \max(1, 2^{-l})$. Hence

$$ I_1 \le C_2C_3C_l(\xi-\eta)^l \int_{\eta}^{\frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta}} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z}} = 2C_2C_3C_l(\xi-\eta)^l \left(\sqrt{\frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta}} - \sqrt{\eta}\right) \le 2C_2C_3C_l(\xi-\eta)^l \left(\sqrt{\xi}-\sqrt{\eta}\right). $$

The second integral can be estimated similarly. For the third integral note that the argument of the logarithmic function belongs to $[1,\infty)$ and for any $x \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ the following inequality holds

$$\log x \leq \frac{x^{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon e}.$$

We take $\varepsilon = 1/2$ and similarly to the previous cases obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq \frac{2C_2\eta^{l+1/2}}{e\xi^{1/2}} \int_{\eta}^{\frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta}} \frac{(\xi-z)^{l+1/2}}{z^{l+1/2}(z-\eta)^{1/2}}\, dz \leq \frac{2C_2(\xi-\eta)^{l+1/2}}{e\xi^{1/2}} \int_{\eta}^{\frac{2\xi\eta}{\xi+\eta}} \frac{dz}{(z-\eta)^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{4C_2(\xi-\eta)^{l+1/2}}{e\xi^{1/2}} \frac{\eta^{1/2}(\xi-\eta)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{\xi+\eta}} \leq \frac{8C_2}{e}(\xi-\eta)^l \left(\sqrt{\xi}-\sqrt{\eta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the obtained inequalities we get (A.8). For the integral (A.9) using the estimates (A.3) and (A.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\eta} |v_2(z,0;\xi,\eta)|\, z^{l-\frac{1}{2}} dz &\leq C_4 \frac{(\xi-\eta)^{1+2l}}{\xi^{1+l}} \int_0^{\frac{\xi\eta}{2\xi-\eta}} \frac{z^{l+1/2}}{(\eta-z)^{l+1}}\, dz + C_5C_6(\xi-\eta)^l \int_{\frac{\xi\eta}{2\xi-\eta}}^{\eta} \frac{dz}{z^{1/2}} \\ &\quad + C_5(\xi-\eta)^l \int_{\frac{\xi\eta}{2\xi-\eta}}^{\eta} \log\left(\frac{z(\xi-\eta)}{\xi(\eta-z)}\right) \frac{dz}{z^{1/2}} =: I_4 + I_5 + I_6. \end{aligned}$$

The integral I_4 can be bounded as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= C_4 \frac{(\xi-\eta)^{1+2l}}{\eta\xi^{1+l}} \int_0^{\frac{\xi\eta}{2\xi-\eta}} \left(\frac{z}{\eta-z}\right)^{l+1/2} (\eta-z)^{3/2} d\left(\frac{z}{\eta-z}\right) \\ &\leq C_4 \frac{(\xi-\eta)^{1+2l}}{\eta\xi^{1+l}} \frac{\eta^{3/2}(\xi-\eta)^{3/2}}{(2\xi-\eta)^{3/2}} \int_0^{\frac{\xi\eta}{2\xi-\eta}} \left(\frac{z}{\eta-z}\right)^{l+1/2} d\left(\frac{z}{\eta-z}\right) \\ &= C_4 \frac{(\xi-\eta)^{l+1}\eta^{1/2}\xi^{1/2}}{(l+3/2)(2\xi-\eta)^{3/2}} \leq \frac{2C_4}{l+3/2}(\xi-\eta)^l \left(\sqrt{\xi}-\sqrt{\eta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the integral I_5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_5 &= 2C_5C_6(\xi-\eta)^l \left(\sqrt{\eta} - \sqrt{\frac{\xi\eta}{2\xi-\eta}}\right) = 2C_5C_6(\xi-\eta)^l \frac{\eta(\xi-\eta)}{(2\xi-\eta)\left(\sqrt{\eta}+\sqrt{\frac{\xi\eta}{2\xi-\eta}}\right)} \\ &\leq 2C_5C_6(\xi-\eta)^l \frac{\eta\left(\sqrt{\xi}+\sqrt{\eta}\right)\left(\sqrt{\xi}-\sqrt{\eta}\right)}{2\sqrt{\eta}(2\xi-\eta)} \leq 2C_5C_6(\xi-\eta)^l \left(\sqrt{\xi}-\sqrt{\eta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the integral I_6 we change the logarithmic function as for the integral I_3 and obtain

$$I_6 \leq \frac{2C_5(\xi-\eta)^{l+1/2}}{e\xi^{1/2}} \int_{\frac{\xi\eta}{2\xi-\eta}}^{\eta} \frac{dz}{(\eta-z)^{1/2}} = \frac{4C_5(\xi-\eta)^{l+1/2}}{e\xi^{1/2}} \frac{\eta^{1/2}(\xi-\eta)^{1/2}}{(2\xi-\eta)^{1/2}} \leq \frac{8C_5}{e}(\xi-\eta)^l \left(\sqrt{\xi}-\sqrt{\eta}\right),$$

which finishes the proof. □

Note that $\sqrt{\xi}-\sqrt{\eta}\leq\sqrt{\xi-\eta}$, hence we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary A.3. *The following inequalities hold*

$$\int_{\eta}^{\xi}\left|v_1\left(z,0;\xi,\eta\right)\right|z^{l-\frac{1}{2}}dz\leq A_1\left(\xi-\eta\right)^{l+1/2}$$

and

$$\int_{0}^{\eta}\left|v_2\left(z,0;\xi,\eta\right)\right|z^{l-\frac{1}{2}}dz\leq A_2\left(\xi-\eta\right)^{l+1/2},$$

where the constants A_1, A_2 do not depend on ξ and η.

References

[1] R. Castillo, V. Kravchenko and S. Torba, *Spectral parameter power series for perturbed Bessel equations*, Appl. Math. Comput. 220 (2013) 676–694.

[2] R. Castillo, V. Kravchenko and S. Torba, *Analysis of graded-index optical fibers by the spectral parameter power series method*, J. Optics 17 (2015) 025607.

[3] P. Davis, *Interpolation and aproximation*, New York: Dover Publications, 1975.

[4] R. DeVore and G. Lorentz, *Constructive approximation*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1993.

[5] A. Erdélyi, *Higher trascendental functions, vol. I*, New-York–Toronto–London: McGraw-Hill, 1953.

[6] S. Flügge, *Practical quantum mechanics*, Berlin Heidelberg: Springer, 1994.

[7] I. Gradshteyn and I. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, Academic Press, 1980.

[8] K. Khmelnytskaya, V. Kravchenko and H. Rosu, *Eigenvalue problems, spectral parameter power series, and modern applications*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 38 (2015) 1945–1969.

[9] K. Khmelnytskaya, V. Kravchenko, S. Torba and S. Tremblay, *Wave polinomials, transmutations and Cauchy's problem for the Klein-Gordon equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 399 (2013) 191–212.

[10] A. Kostenko and G. Teschl, *On the singular Weyl-Titchmarsh function of perturbed spherical Schrödinger operators*, J. Differential Equations, 250 (2011) 3701–3739.

[11] V. Kravchenko, *A representation for solutions of the Sturm-Liouville equation*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 53 (2008) 775–789.

[12] V. Kravchenko, *Applied pseudoanalytic function theory*, Basel: Birkhäuser, Series: Frontiers in Mathematics, 2009.

[13] V. Kravchenko and R. Porter, *Spectral parameter power series for Sturm-Liouville problems*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 33 (2010) 459–468.

[14] V. Kravchenko and S. Torba, *Construction of transmutation operators and hyperbolic pseudoanalytic Functions*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 9 (2015) 379-429.

[15] V. Kravchenko and S. Torba, *Analytic approximation of transmutation operators and a-pplications to highly accurate solution of spectral problems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 275 (2015) 1–26.

[16] N. Lebedev, *Special functions and their applications*, New York: Dover, 1972.

[17] V. Ledoux and M. Van Daele, *Matslise 2.0: A Matlab toolbox for Sturm-Liouville computations*, ACM Trans. Math. Softw., 42 (2016), 29:1–18.

[18] K. Okamoto, *Fundamentals of optical waveguides*, San Diego: Academic Press, 2000.

[19] F. Olver, D. Lozier, R. Boisvert and Ch. Clark, *NIST Handbook of mathematical functions*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[20] A. Prudnikov, Yu. Brychkov and O. Marichev, *Integrals and series. Special functions*, Gordon and Breach science publishers, v.2, 1992.

[21] S. Samko, A. Kilbas and O. Marichev, *Fractional integrals and derivatives, theory and applications*, Gordon and Breach science publishers, 1993.

[22] S. Sitnik, *On solution to the problem of unitary generalization to the Sonine-Poisson transmutations*, Belgorod State University Scientific Bulletin, Mathematics and Physics, 5(76) (2010), N^o 18, 135–153 (in Russian).

[23] S. Sitnik, *Transmutations and applications: a survey,* in Yu. F. Korobeinik, A. G. Kusraev (Eds.), Vladikavkaz: Vladikavkaz Scientific Center of the Russian Academy of Sciences and Republic of, North Ossetia-Alania, 2008, pp. 226-293, Available from: arXiv:1012.3741v1 (Originally published in the book: Advances in Modern Analysis and Mathematical Modeling).

[24] V. Stashevskaya, *On the inverse problem of spectral analysis for a differential operator with a singularity at zero*, Zap. Mat. Otdel. Fiz.-Mat.Fak.KhGU i KhMO 25 (4) (1957) 49-86 (in Russian).

[25] V. Ya. Volk, *On inversion formulas for a differential equation with a singularity at* $x = 0$, Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.) VIII (1953), No. 4(56) 141–151.

[26] A. Zettl, *Sturm-Liouville theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 121. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 2005.